

REMARKS

The application includes claims 1-29 prior to entering this amendment.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-29.

The Applicant amends claims 1-29. No new matter is added.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112

The Examiner rejected claims 11-23 under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112, second paragraph.

Claim 11 is amended so as to recite a system directed to a single statutory class of invention. Claim 18 is amended so as to recite a computer-readable medium directed to a single statutory class of invention. Accordingly Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner rejected claims 1-29 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Baxter, *et al.* (U.S. Patent 6,651,066).

Without admitting the propriety of the rejection, Applicant amends claims 1-29 to expedite prosecution. For example, claim 1 recites a method for migrating content on a network comprising:

- accessing a migration file comprised of a plurality of network entries, each of said network entries comprised of one or more network addresses;

- reformatting said migration file as a switch compliant file comprised of a switch compliant language, wherein said switch compliant language complies with one or more of Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) data connectivity model layers 4 to 7;

- receiving a request to access a current network address, wherein said current network address and a new network address are associated with one entry of said plurality of network entries; and

- automatically directing the request to access said current network address to said new network address based on an analysis of said one entry in said switch compliant file.

Baxter describes a content management system for web based systems that organizes the information separately from the appearance of the information (Abstract). Claim 1 was rejected, in part, according to the reference to Baxter at column 17 lines 27 to column 18 lines 19, and directed to an assembly and personalization process of the requested information and as

illustrated in Figures 8 and 9. Figure 9 illustrates a flow chart of a personalization strategy wherein pages for display on a website are created and displayed based on a user ID (column 17 lines 50-60). Figure 9 is incorporated in Figure 8 wherein Document IDs and Border IDs are then retrieved based on the requested information to construct the personalized web page.

Applicant respectfully submits that the reference to Baxter, including Figures 8 and 9, fail to disclose automatically directing the request to access a current network address to a new network address based on an analysis of an entry in a switch compliant file, as recited by claim 1. Rather Baxter describes how the requested website is personalized to the user ID as described above.

Claims 2-10 are believed to be allowable as depending on amended claim1, in addition to the further novel features recited therein. Claims 11-29 are believed to be allowable for similar reasons as claims 2-10. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-29 is respectfully requested.

Any statements made by Examiner that are not addressed by Applicant do not necessarily constitute agreement by the Applicant. In some cases Applicant may have amended or argued the allowability of independent claims thereby obviating grounds for rejection of the dependent claims.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Applicant requests reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims. The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned if it appears that an interview would be helpful in advancing the case.

Customer No. 73552

Respectfully submitted,

STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Bryan Kirkpatrick", written over a horizontal line.

Bryan D. Kirkpatrick
Reg. No. 53,135

STOLOWITZ FORD COWGER LLP
621 SW Morrison Street, Suite 600
Portland, OR 97205
(503) 224-2170